UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

TAMI L. CROOKS,

CASE NO. 5:12-CV-2876

Plaintiff,

.

vs. :

OPINION & ORDER [Resolving Docs. 1, 15]

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

:

Defendant.

:

JAMES S. GWIN, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE:

On November 19, 2012, Plaintiff Tami L. Crooks filed a complaint seeking judicial review of the Defendant Commissioner of Social Security's decision to deny her disability benefits. Law Consistent with Local Rule 72.2, the matter was referred to Magistrate Judge Nancy A, Vecchiarelli. On May 31, 2013, Magistrate Judge Vecchiarelli filed a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Administrative Law Judge properly assessed Ms. Crooks's prior relevant work and that the decision of the Commissioner is supported by substantial evidence in the record. Accordingly, Magistrate Judge Vecchiarelli recommended that the Commissioner's decision be affirmed.

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection.⁴ The

 $[\]frac{1}{2}[\text{Doc. }\underline{1}.]$

 $[\]frac{2}{[Doc. 15.]}$

 $[\]frac{3}{2}$ [Doc. <u>15</u>.]

^{4/28} U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Case: 5:12-cv-02876-JG Doc #: 16 Filed: 06/17/13 2 of 2. PageID #: 455

Case No. 5:12-CV-2876

Gwin, J.

Act gives parties fourteen days to file objections. ⁵/ Failure to object within this time waives a party's

right to appeal the district court's judgment. Absent objection, a district court may adopt the

magistrate judge's report without review.^{7/}

In this case, neither party has objected to the Magistrate Judge's recommendation. Moreover,

having conducted its own review of the Report and Recommendation, record, and parties' briefs, the

Court agrees with the recommendation of Magistrate Judge Vecchiarelli that the Court affirm the

Commissioner's decision.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS in whole Magistrate Judge Vecchiarelli's Report and

Recommendation and incorporates it fully herein by reference, and **AFFIRMS** the Commissioner's

denial of benefits.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: June 17, 2013

James S. Gwin

JAMES S. GWIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

 $[\]frac{5}{\underline{Id}}$.

^{6/}Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); see also <u>Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 (1985)</u>; <u>United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d</u> 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981).

<u>⁷</u>See Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149.